

excepting even the *Camelidæ*. It is only during the earlier period of intra-uterine life that the corpuscle of mammals has a nucleus corresponding to the permanent one in the corpuscle of lower animals. In birds, the nucleus, when exposed by a weak acid, is commonly longer in proportion to its breadth than the envelope; but there are some exceptions, and the nucleus becomes globular or nearly so when in contact with water. In the blood-corpuscle of mammals the central spot, so often mistaken for a nucleus, is not visible in the best focus and light; then if the object-glass be so slightly removed from the corpuscles as not to destroy their clear contour, a dark spot appears in their centre; if the glass be next so far moved towards the corpuscles as to place them slightly within the focus, the dark spot will become bright; and when altogether invisible in a bright light, the spot may be instantly brought into view simply by diminishing the light.

*Note.*—The following measurements are all in vulgar fractions of an English inch; but for the sake of convenience, the numerator is omitted throughout, as it is invariably 1, and the denominators only are printed. The measurements of the blood-discs are given as they lie flat, unless when a T indicates a measurement of their thickness. By L.D. the long diameter and by S.D. the short diameter is denoted. The measurements refer exclusively to average sizes. The nuclei were measured after exposing them by the action of dilute acetic acid on the envelopes.

MAMMALIA.

Homo .....	3200	Ateles ater .....	3602
..... T...	12400	— Belzebuth .....	3589
SIMIÆ CATARRHINI.		Cebus Apella .....	3467
Simia Troglodytes .....	3412	— capucinus .....	3454
Pithecus Satyrus .....	3383	Callithrix sciureus .....	3713
Hylobates Hoolock .....	3368	Jacchus vulgaris .....	3624
— leucogenys .....	3425	Midas Rosalia .....	3510
— Rafflesii .....	3539	LEMURIDÆ.	
Semnopithecus Maurus .....	3515	Lemur albifrons .....	3976
Cercopithecus Mona .....	3468	— Catta .....	3892
— sabæus .....	3342	— Anjuanensis .....	4003
— fuliginosus .....	3530	— nigrifrons .....	4440
— ruber .....	3395	Loris tardigradus .....	3691
— pileatus .....	3578	— gracilis .....	3461
— pygerythrus .....	3401	CHEIROPTERA.	
— Petaurista .....	3478	Vespertilio murinus .....	4175
— griseo-iridis .....	3429	— noctula .....	4404
— Æthiops .....	3454	— Pipistrellus .....	4324
Macacus radiatus .....	3563	Plecotus auritus .....	4465
— Rhesus .....	3429	INSECTIVORA.	
— niger .....	3583	Talpa Europæa .....	4747
— cynomolgus .....	3429	Erinaceus Europæus .....	4085
— Silenus .....	3430	Sorex tetragonurus .....	4571
— nemestrinus .....	3493	PLANTIGRADA.	
— sylvanus .....	3338	Meles vulgaris .....	3940
— melanotus .....	3389	Arctonyx collaris .....	3609
Cynocephalus Anubis .....	3461		
— leucophæus .....	3555		
SIMIÆ PLATYRRHINI.			
Ateles subpentadactylus .....	3620		

## MAMMALIA—(continued).

Ursus maritimus .....	3870		
— Arctos .....	3723		
— Americanus .....	3693		
— Americanus, var. ....	3782		
— ferox .....	3530		
— labiatus .....	3728		
Helarctos Malayanus .....	3562		
Mellivora Capensis .....	3824		
Procyon lotor .....	3950		
Nasua fusca .....	3789		
— rufa .....	3878		
Basaris astuta .....	4033		
Cercoleptes caudivolvulus .....	4573		
CARNIVORA.			
Paradoxurus leucomystax .....	4236		
— Bondar .....	5693		
— binotatus .....	4660		
— Pallasii .....	5485		
Canis familiaris .....	3542		
— Dingo .....	3395		
— Vulpes .....	4117		
— fulvus .....	3920		
— argentatus .....	3888		
— cinereo-argenteus .....	3761		
— lagopus .....	3888		
— aureus .....	3860		
— mesomelas .....	T... 14000		
— Lupus .....	3645		
— Lupus .....	3625		
Lycan tricolor .....	3801		
Hyæna vulgaris .....	3735		
— crocuta .....	3820		
Herpestes griseus .....	4662		
— Javanicus? .....	4790		
— Smithii .....	4466		
Viverra Civetta .....	4274		
— tigrina .....	5365		
Felis Leo .....	4322		
— concolor .....	4465		
— unicolor .....	4481		
— Tigris .....	4206		
— Leopardus .....	4319		
— jubata .....	4220		
— pardalis .....	4616		
— domestica .....	4404		
— Bengalensis .....	4419		
— Caracal .....	4684		
— cervaria .....	4220		
— Serval .....	4129		
Galictis vittata .....	4175		
Mustela Zorilla .....	4270		
— Furo .....	4134		
— vulgaris .....	4205		
— Putorius .....	4167		
Lutra vulgaris .....	3502		
Phoca vitulina .....	3281		
CETACEA.			
Delphinus Phocæna .....	3829		
Balæna Boops .....	3099		
PACHYDERMATA.			
Sus Scrofa .....	4230		
— Babyroussa .....	4316		
Dicotyles torquatus .....	4490		
Tapirus Indicus .....	4000		
Elephas Indicus .....	2745		
Rhinoceros Indicus .....	3765		
Equus Caballus .....	4706		
— T... ..	13422		
— Asinus .....	4000		
— Burchellii .....	4360		
— Hemionus .....	4421		
RUMINANTIA.			
Camelus Dromedarius... ..	L.D. 3254		
	S.D. 5921		
	T... 15337		
— Bactrianus .....	L.D. 3123		
	S.D. 5876		
	T... 15210		
Auchenia Vicugna .....	L.D. 3555		
	S.D. 6444		
— Paco .....	L.D. 3361		
	S.D. 6294		
— Glama .....	ibid.		
Moschus Javanicus .....	12325		
— Stanleyanus .....	10825		
Cervus Wapiti .....	4138		
— Hippelaphus .....	3777		
— Axis .....	5088		
— Dama .....	4515		
— Alces .....	3938		
— Barbarus .....	4800		
— Elaphus .....	4324		
— macrourus? .....	5074		
— Mexicanus .....	5175		
— Marhal .....	4978		
— porcinus .....	5391		
— Reevesii .....	6330		
— Capreolus .....	5184		
— Virginianus .....	5036		
Camelopardalis Giraffa .....	4571		
Antilope Cervicapra .....	5108		
— Dorcas .....	4922		
— T... ..	16000		
— Gnu .....	4800		
— Sing-Sing .....	5150		
— Philantomba .....	5116		
— picta .....	4875		
— Bubalis .....	5600		
Capra Caucasica .....	7045		
— Hircus .....	6366		

MAMMALIA—(continued).

Capra Hircus, var. ....	6430	Syntheris prehensilis .....	3444
Ovis Musmon .....	5045	Capromys Fournieri .....	3483
— Aries .....	5300	Myopotamus Coypus .....	3355
— Tragelaphus .....	6355	..... T...	10667
Bos Taurus .....	4267	Castor Fiber .....	3325
— Taurus, var. ....	4571	Cavia Cobaya .....	3538
— Bison .....	4062	Dasyprocta aurata .....	3857
— Bubalus .....	4586	— Acouchi .....	3777
..... T...	14000	Cœlogenys subniger .....	3481
— Caffre .....	4703	Hydrochærus Capybara .....	3190
— frontalis .....	4299	Lepus cuniculus .....	3607
— Sylhetanus .....	4222	Lepus timidus .....	3560
RODENTIA.		EDENTATA.	
Pteromys nitidus .....	3777	Bradypus didactylus .....	2865
— volucella .....	3892	Dasypus sex-cinctus .....	3457
Sciurus vulgaris .....	4000	— villosus .....	3315
— niger? .....	3841	MARSUPIATA.	
— maximus .....	3633	Didelphis Virginiana .....	3557
— cinereus .....	4000	..... T...	12000
— capistratus ..	3930	Dasyurus viverrinus .....	4056
— Palmarum .....	3847	— Maugei .....	4034
— Listeri .....	3948	— ursinus .....	3534
Arctomys? pruinosis .....	3484	..... T...	10910
— Empetra .....	3503	Perameles Lagotis .....	3902
Dipus Ægyptius .....	4172	Hypsiprymnus setosus .....	4000
Mus giganteus .....	3892	Macropus Bennettii .....	3535
— decumanus .....	3911	— ocydromus .....	3442
— Rattus .....	3754	— Derbyanus? .....	3405
— musculus .....	3814	..... T...	10910
— sylvaticus .....	3839	Halmaturus Billardieri .....	3623
— messorius .....	4268	Phalangista vulpina .....	3617
— Alexandrinus .....	3900	— nana .....	3856
Arvicola amphibia .....	3790	— fuliginosa .....	3688
— riparia .....	4199	Petaurista sciureus .....	3661
Ondatra Zibethica .....	3550	Phascolomys Wombat .....	3456
Hystrix cristata .....	3369		
Erithizon dorsatum .....	3380		

AVES.

RAPACES.	L.D.	S.D.	L.D.	S.D.	
	Gypætus barbatus .....	1913			3425
Cathartes Iota .....	1880	3691	— Lagopus .....	1852	3691
Sarcorhamphus Gryphus	1761	3892	Aquila chrysaëtos .....	1812	3832
— Papa .....	1825	3600	— Bonelli .....	1866	3598
Vultur auricularis .....	1835	3461	— fucosa .....	1852	3485
..... Nuclei ..	4000	10666	— choka .....	1830	3691
— fulvus .....	1829	3399	Helotarsus typicus .....	1891	3461
..... T. 9600			Haliaëtus albicilla .....	1829	3390
— Kolbii .....	1794	3337	— leucocephalus .....	1909	3390
— leuconotus .....	1806	3425	— Aguia .....	1806	3585
— Angolensis .....	1684	3166	Falco Peregrinus .....	1916	3862
Polyborus vulgaris .....	1829	3572	— Tinnunculus .....	1891	3490

## AVES—(continued).

	L.D.	S. D.		L.D.	S. D.
Falco subbuteo .....	1827	3507	Turdus canorus .....	2305	3892
Milvus vulgaris .....	1931	3677	Merula vulgaris .....	2097	4256
Gypoggeranus serpen- } tarius .....	1722	3301	Orpheus polyglottis .....	2223	3732
Surnia Nyctea .....	1555	4042	— rufus .....	2231	3646
Nuclei ...	3200	10666	Muscicapa grisola .....	2179	4173
Otus brachyotus .....	1763	4076	Lanius excubitor .....	1989	5325
Bubo maximus .....	1720	3566	Vanga destructor .....	2019	3892
Bubo Virginianus .....	1837	4000			
Syrnium Aluco .....	1930	3801	GRANIVORÆ.		
Strix flammea .....	1882	3740	Dolichonyx oryzivorus .	2400	4167
Nuclei ...	4000	10666	Ploceus textor .....	2213	4575
OMNIVORÆ.			Cardinalis Dominicana...	2140	3643
Cracticus hypoleucus ...	2116	4000	— cucullata .....	<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
Barita Tibicen .....	2118	3892	Amadina fasciata .....	2001	4364
Garrulus pileatus .....	2041	4167	— punctularia .....	2133	4133
— glandarius .....	2064	3878	Pyrgita domestica .....	2140	3500
Nuclei ...	4000	10666	Nuclei ...	4364	9200
— cristatus .....	2041	3512	— simplex .....	2273	4000
Nucifraga Caryocatactes	1875	4172	Fringilla Cœlebs .....	2253	4133
Corvus corax .....	1961	4000	— Chloris .....	2232	3600
— frugilegus .....	1894	3196	— amandava .....	2243	4800
Nuclei ...	4572	9140	— cyanea .....	2144	3741
— monedula .....	2243	4167	Linaria minor .....	2416	4848
Nuclei ...	4000	10665	Parus cœruleus .....	2313	4128
— Pica .....	1953	3365	— caudatus .....	2136	4570
T. 11600			Nuclei ...	4800	10666
Nuclei ...	4245	11138	— major .....	2133	3892
Gracula religiosa .....	2075	4167	Alauda arvensis .....	2125	4128
Fregilus graculus .....	2106	4505	Nuclei ...	4000	12000
Pastor roseus .....	2106	4630	Emberiza citrinella .....	2286	4000
— cristatellus .....	2133	4050	Nuclei ...	4000	12000
— tristis .....	1993	4167	— cristata .....	2310	4167
Sturnus vulgaris .....	2115	3892	Plectrophanes nivalis ...	2133	4740
Nuclei ...	3764	11333	Loxia coccothraustes ...	2042	3790
— predatorius .....	2133	4175	T. 9141		
Coracias garrula .....	2000	3478	Nuclei ...	4570	10666
Molothrus sericeus ...	2133	4567	— curvirostra .....	2365	4000
Buceros Rhinoceros? ...	1690	3230	— enucleator .....	2247	4083
			— Javensis .....	2286	3677
INSECTIVORES.			— Astrild .....	2273	4740
Troglodytes Europæus...	2359	4133	— cœrulea .....	2290	3740
Regulus cristatus .....	2284	4133	— Malacca .....	2359	4167
Motacilla alba .....	2182	3600	Vidua paradisæa .....	1998	3740
Nuclei ...	4000	10666	Nuclei ...	3555	10666
Sylvia Phragmites .....	2003	3550			
Philomela lusciniæ .....	1895	4400	ZYGODACTYLLI.		
Nuclei ...	4000	12000	Corythaix Buffonii .....	1902	3764
Curruca atricapilla .....	2359	4133	Cuculus canorus .....	2028	3600
Erythaca rubecula .....	2305	4133	Plyctolophus Eos .....	1981	3728
Accentor modularis .....	2342	4000	— sulphureus .....	2203	3399
Turdus viscivorus .....	2247	4000	— rosaceus .....	1842	3547
— musicus .....	2203	4133	Nuclei ...	4000	12000
— migratorius .....	2348	4133	— galeritus .....	1880	3600
			— Philippinorum ...	1974	4041
			Macrocerus Aracanga...	1902	4041



AVES—(continued).

	L.D.	S.D.		L.D.	S.D.
Macrocerus Illigeri ...	1924	4335	<b>CHELIONES.</b>		
— Ararauna .....	1961	4128	Hirundo rustica .....	2133	4000
— Macao .....	1902	4762	— urbica... ..	2170	4000
— severus .....	2165	3801	Cypselus Apus .....	1982	3850
Platycercus Pennantii ...	2106	3931	Nuclei ...	4000	10666
— Pacificus .....	2118	4174			
— eximius .....	2193	3892	<b>COLUMBÆ.</b>		
— flaviventris .....	2118	3892	Columba Palumbus .....	1973	3643
— Vasa .....	2045	3892	— risoria .....	2133	3523
— scapulatus .....	2000	4042	— Turtur .....	2005	3369
— niger .....	2133	3892	— tigrina .....	2088	3615
Nymphicus Novæ-Hol-landiæ } .....	2160	4174	— rufina .....	2314	3429
Psittacara leptorhyncha .	2067	3931	— chalconoptera .....	2208	4062
— murina .....	2133	4031	— Nicobarica .....	2133	3692
— Patachonica .....	2115	3977	— Guinea .....	2165	3839
— viridissima .....	2029	4190	— Corensis .....	2193	3643
— solstitialis .....	2133	4000	— aurita .....	2422	3519
— virescens .....	2097	4175	— montana .....	2239	3692
Trichoglossus capistratus	2203	3892	Nuclei ...	5333	12000
Palæornis Alexandri ...	2115	3892	— Zenaida .....	2203	3571
— torquatus .....	2174	3892	— migratoria .....	1909	4626
— Bengalensis .....	2278	4000	— coronata .....	1954	3491
Lorius domicellus .....	2093	4133	— leucocephala .....	2132	3646
— Ceramensis .....	2115	4000	— mysticea .....	2100	3512
— Amboinensis .....	2045	4133			
— coccineus .....	2165	4000	<b>GALLINÆ.</b>		
— Sinensis .....	2115	3692	Penelope leucolophos ...	1902	3607
Tanygnathus macro-rhynchus } .....	2106	3829	Nuclei ...	3555	9166
Psittacus erythacus .....	1898	4000	— cristata .....	<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
— albifrons .....	1931	3692	Crax globicera .....	1985	3425
— Augustus .....	2085	3600	— rubra .....	1993	3664
— Americanus .....	2115	3600	— Yarellii .....	2000	3456
— Regulus .....	2037	3764	Ourax Mitu .....	2005	3490
— Dufresnii .....	2278	3374	Pavo cristatus .....	1835	3589
— Amazonicus .....	1800	3832	— muticus .....	<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
— leucocephalus .....	2050	3727	— Javanicus .....	1884	3491
— badiceps .....	2165	3617	Phasianus pictus .....	2213	3615
— menstruus .....	2115	3708	— nycthemerus .....	1887	3470
— melanocephalus ..	2005	3892	Nuclei ...	4000	8000
— mitratus .....	2029	3892	— superbus .....	2128	3587
Psittacula cana .....	2101	4174	— lineatus .....	1855	3348
— pullaria .....	2097	4174	Nuclei ...	4570	9166
Picus minor .....	2170	3892	— Colchicus .....	2168	3646
			Nuclei ...	5647	7111
<b>ANISODACTYLLI.</b>			Gallus domesticus .....	2102	3466
Sitta Europæa .....	2213	4188	Nuclei ...	6000	9140
Nuclei ...	4572	11000	Meleagris gallapavo ..	2045	3598
Certhia familiaris .....	2305	4000	Numida Rendallii .....	2054	4415
			Francolinus vulgaris ...	2106	4041
<b>ALCYONES.</b>			Perdix longirostris .....	2054	3801
Dacelo gigantea .....	2110	3555	— Bonhami .....	1933	3282
Alcedo Ispida .....	2124	3693	Nuclei ...	4570	10666
			Coturnix Argoondah ...	2347	3470
			Ortyx Virginianus .....	2213	4000
			— neoxyenus .....	2305	3836

## AVES—(continued).

	L.D.	S.D.		L.D.	S.D.
Tetrao urogallus .....	2248	3836	Ciconia Argala .....	1728	3555
Tetrix .....	2376	3728	— Marabou .....	1859	3460
Caucasica .....	1923	3456	Ibis ruber .....	1948	3153
Nuclei ...	4570	9166	Numenius Phæopus ...	1846	4465
Tinamus rufescens .....	1752	3338	Limosa melanura .....	1973	3764
ALECTORIDES.			Scolopax Gallinago .....	2170	3622
Dicholophus cristatus ...	1884	3364	Rallus Philippinensis ...	2997	3389
CURSORES.			Gallinula chloropus .....	2055	3839
Struthio Camelus.....	1649	3000	PINNATIPEDES.		
T. 9166			Podiceps minor .....	2001	3200
Nuclei ...	3200	9166	PALMIPEDES.		
Dromaius Novæ-Hol- } .....	1690	3031	Plectropterus Gambiensis	1866	3728
landiæ .....			Chenalopex Ægyptiaca .	1866	3839
Rhea Americana .....	1898	3273	Cereopsis Novæ-Hol- } .....	1722	3692
GRALLATORES.			landiæ .....		
Œdicnemus crepitans ...	2157	4000	Bernicla Sandvicensis ...	1866	3839
Vanellus cristatus .....	1964	3310	— Magellanica .....	<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
Hæmatopus Ostralegus .	1895	4000	Cygnus atratus .....	1806	3692
Nuclei ...	3200	9000	Dendrocygna viduata ...	1789	3555
Psophia crepitans .....	1883	3488	— autumnalis .....	1916	3764
Anthropoides Virgo .....	1884	3740	— arborea .....	1931	3724
T. 11230			Dendronessa sponsa ...	2001	4079
— Stanleyanus .....	1909	3529	Tadorna vulpanser .....	1925	3839
Balearica pavonina .....	1859	3777	Mareca Penelope .....	1873	4385
T. 9597			Querquedula crecca.....	2062	4592
Nuclei ...	4000	9750	— acuta .....	1993	3839
— Regulorum .....	1858	3478	— circia .....	2088	3839
Ardea cinerea .....	1913	3491	Anas galericulata .....	1937	3424
— Nycticorax .....	1780	3555	Larus ridibundus .....	2097	4000
— minuta .....	1993	3827	— canus .....	1973	3839
Platalea leucorodia .....	1859	3600	Nuclei ...	3555	10666
Ciconia alba .....	1755	3439	Pelecanus Onocrotalus...	1777	3369
— nigra .....	1806	3403	Nuclei ...	3200	9600
			Phalacrocorax Carbo ...	2005	3765

## REPTILIA.

	L.D.	S.D.		L.D.	S.D.
Chelonia Mydas .....	1231	1882	Lacerta viridis .....	1555	2743
Nuclei ...	4000	6000	Anguis fragilis .....	1178	2666
Testudo Græca .....	1252	2216	Natrix torquata .....	1371	2157
— radiata .....	1241	2197	T. 8341		
Alligator — ? .....	1324	2122	Nuclei ...	3835	6817
Crocodylus acutus .....	1231	2286	Coluber Berus .....	1274	1800
T. 8000			Nuclei ...	3227	4986
— Lucius? .....	1124	2215	Python Tigris .....	1440	2400
Champsia fissipes .....	1259	2315	Nuclei ...	3555	7468
Iguana Cyclura .....	1230	2285			
Nuclei ...	5333	6400			

## AMPHIBIA.

	L.D.	S.D.		L.D.	S.D.
Rana temporaria .....	1108	1821	Triton Bibronii .....	848	1311
T. 7112			Nuclei ...	1901	3000
Nuclei ...	3114	6297	— cristatus .....	<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
Bufo vulgaris .....	1043	2000	Lissotriton punctatus ...	814	1246
T. 5625			Nuclei ...	1778	2667
Nuclei ...	2802	5261	Siren lacertina ..	435	800
			Nuclei ...	1142	2007

## PISCES.

	L.D.	S.D.		L.D.	S.D.
Perca fluviatilis .....	2099	2824	Cyprinus auratus .....	1777	2824
T. 8000			T. 10666		
Nuclei ...	7482	8830	Nuclei ...	4570	8000
— Cernua .....	2461	3000	— Erythrophthalmus.	2000	3200
Nuclei ...	6000	8000	— Phoxinus .....	2000	2900
Cottus Gobio .....	2000	2900	Esox Lucius .....	2000	3555
T. 8000			Nuclei ...	5333	8000
Cyprinus Carpio .....	2142	3429	Anguilla vulgaris .....	1745	2842
T. 8000			T. 8000		
Nuclei ...	6400	8000	Nuclei ...	7500	10000
— Tinca .....	2286	2722	Gymnotus electricus ...	1745	2599
T. 8830					
Nuclei ...	8500	9600			

## MISCELLANEOUS.

## MILDNESS OF THE SEASON.

Two instances of the mildness of the season have occurred. A pair of the *Vanessa Io* were brought to me, caught flying at Bardsey, near Leeds, on the 24th January. A *robin's* nest, with *young ones*, was found near Swillington, about six miles from Leeds, on the 2nd February.

HENRY DENNY.

## DE HIRUNDINUM AD NOS REDITU.

Salve, quæ ad nos incolumis te vertis, hirundo,

    Quandoquidem veris nuncia grata redis ;

Et nos ceu socios post frigora sæva revisis,

    Et tecum nobis tempora læta refers.

Te redeunte redit facies lætissima mundo.

    Sævus abit Boreas ; mitior aura redit.

Solvunturque nives, et grato murmure rivi

    Labuntur ripis, prataque amœna rigant.

Herba solum vestit, pinguntur floribus arva,

    Omnis abit squallor, pulchra juventa redit.

Te redeunte, virent nuper quæcunque rigebant,

    Arboribus redeunt te redeunte comæ.

Salve, igitur, felix cunctis mortalibus ales,

    Nuncia veris avis, nuncia lætitiæ.

Lucas Nicolaus del Muto.

## AGRIMONIA ODORATA, AITON.

In the course of an examination of my native species of *Rosaceæ*, I have had the fortune to detect a good specimen, in fruit, of the *Agrimonia odorata* of the Hortus Kewensis, given to me by the Rev. W. W. Newbould, who gathered it at Beaumont in the island of Jersey on the 15th of August 1842. I believe this to be the only continental plant, not known as a native of Britain, which has been added to the flora of the Channel Islands since the publication of the 'Primitiæ Floræ Sarnicæ.' It is distinguished from *A. Eupatoria*, which it greatly resembles, by its "greater size,—three to four feet high;" leaves more deeply and more sharply cut, hairy and furnished with scattered glands beneath, not cano-tomentose; tube of the calyx of the fruit larger but shorter, bell-shaped or nearly hemispherical, not turbinate, uniformly hairy and glandular, only furrowed in its upper half, and even there the furrows are shallow; spines longer, and the lower ones strongly reflexed; petals "saturate aureis," red in the dried specimen. It will probably be detected in some of our southern counties if diligently looked for.—C. C. B.

## HASSALL'S "BRITISH FRESHWATER ALGÆ."

The Editors think it right to make a few observations upon Mr. Hassall's letter printed in the last number of these 'Annals,' and to which these remarks would have been appended, had they not thought that they might as well allow their readers one month's opportunity of contrasting the letter and the review, believing that the latter is by far the best answer to most points brought forward in the former. They wish it to be distinctly understood that they are not again reviewing the work, and do not intend to be drawn into a paper war, which would be totally out of place here.

Mr. Hassall complains that the review contains animadversions which a careful and candid examination of the work will not justify; they have now to state that a re-examination has only convinced them that the reviewer has been very lenient, and that Mr. Hassall should have been well-satisfied when he reflects how plentifully he has appropriated to himself the labours of others.

Suppose that Mr. Hassall had been engaged for the last two or three years in bringing out periodically original and elaborate figures with descriptions, as Mr. Ralfs has done, and that some compiler, watching close at his heels, had instantly and without ceremony copied a very large number of his figures, and given them to the world as his own, would Mr. Hassall have been content to acquiesce without complaint or remonstrance? To say nothing of the illegality of such a proceeding (which however is clear enough), there is too much reason to complain of its injustice and disingenuousness.

It is to little purpose that Mr. Hassall states that "no one *plate* is a copy of any one of Mr. Ralfs's," when the *figures* of which they are composed are palpably so, although by transpositions and inversions the identity of the plates is disguised.

Our readers may judge for themselves by comparing the plates of *Desmidiæ* in both works: they will see that there is not a single



figure in Mr. Hassall's Plates lxxxv. or lxxxvi. that is not taken from the Annals.

Mr. Hassall alleges that he has made a *sufficient* acknowledgement in having stated in his work that "several of the figures of this family, especially *certain* of the genera *Euastrum* and *Cosmarium*, are taken from those of Jenner and Ralfs." Now, with regard to *Cosmarium*, all the figures, thirty-four in number, are copied from the 'Annals,' while in *Goniocystis*, including *Arthrodesmus*, there are fifty-one figures, all copies, without a single original.

Neither space nor inclination allows the detail of further instances, but an examination of the work has confirmed the editors in the conviction that Mr. Hassall has by no means made a full and fair avowal of the extent of his obligations to others.

The opinion expressed in the review upon comparative specific characters is confidently left to the judgement of naturalists.

Mr. Hassall's statement that "it would have been easy for him, had he thought proper to do so, to have abstained from quoting Mr. Ralfs altogether," when he had helped himself so freely to his figures, needs no comment.

It may be right to state that Mr. Jenner's labours were not coupled with those of Mr. Ralfs in the review, owing to his not having *published* upon these tribes. Mr. Jenner's researches are well-known to and most highly appreciated by the reviewer. Mr. Jenner would be the last to take credit to himself for the labours of another, as may be seen in his 'Flora of Tunbridge,' pp. 178, 188, 192, 200.

#### HASSALL'S "BRITISH FRESHWATER ALGÆ."

##### *To the Editors of the Annals of Natural History.*

GENTLEMEN,—In Mr. Hassall's letter in your February number, in answer to your reviewer of his 'British Freshwater Algæ,' my name is used, in the allusions to Mr. Ralfs's papers on the *Desmidiæ*, in such a manner as I am afraid might mislead the public; I am induced, therefore, to beg the insertion of a few lines in your next number.

Those papers were written solely by Mr. Ralfs, and I must disclaim any praise at his expense; since my having assisted him in his observations, or given the outlines of some of the figures, could not make me the author.

No one has been more ready, nor more careful to give another credit for what was due to him than Mr. Ralfs has been; but what little I have done has been for my own amusement, and from love of natural history. It has afforded me the greatest pleasure, as well as instruction, to have a person so faithful in investigation, so acute and accurate in observation, and so perspicuous, simple and concise in definition to correspond with, and I am pleased with the opportunity now afforded me to state that at first, most of my knowledge of this interesting, curious and very beautiful tribe of plants was obtained through his kindness, and also that it is my intention to render Mr. Ralfs every assistance in my power in bringing out his

'Monograph of the British *Desmidiæ*,' either in microscopical observation, by faithful outlines, or in the determination of any difficult point.

Mr. Hassall also is a gentleman whom I respect, and for most of my knowledge of the British *Conjugatæ* I am indebted to his kindness. I am, Gentlemen, your obedient servant,

EDWARD JENNER.

*On the Disease of the Plantain.* By GEORGE R. BONYUN, M.D.  
Communicated by W. H. Campbell, Esq., LL.D., Secretary of the Royal Agricultural and Commercial Society of British Guiana.

The varieties of the edible plantain, which are known and cultivated throughout the West Indies, Africa and the East, are all of them reducible to two species, viz. the plantain and the banana (*Musa paradisiaca* and *Musa sapientum*). The difference between these two plants is even so slight as to be scarcely specific; it is therefore most probable that there was originally but one stock, from which they have by cultivation and change of locality been derived. It is therefore necessary to determine with exactness, if possible, whether the plantain or banana (whichever be the parent stock) exists anywhere at present, or has been known to have existed as a perfect plant, that is, bearing fertile seeds, or whether it has always been in the imperfect state, that is, incapable of being procreated by seed, the only state in which it exists in this colony.

In the oldest botanical descriptions of the plantain, bakova, pisang, banana, bihai; or by whatever name it is known, it is invariably described as an anomalous plant not perfecting its seeds; nor is there any traveller who has described a plant which could be considered to be the plantain in its uncultivated state.

In Gerard's 'Herbal,' printed in 1636, p. 1464, there is an excellent drawing of a bunch of plantains, and it is described as seedless. Plumier, in his 'Nova Plantarum Americanarum Genera,' printed in 1703, gives a like description of the plantain. Linnæus, in his 'Species Plantarum,' anno 1763, describes four species, *Musa paradisiaca*, *sapientum*, *Bihai* and *Troglodytarum*, which latter, on the authority of Rumphius, he says, bears many seeds (*hæc gerit semina multa*). He supposes the two former to be hybrids produced by impregnating the Bihai with some congeners unknown to him. Since Linnæus's time the "Bihai" has been found to belong to a different genus than *Musa*; it is now called *Heliconia humilis*, is a native of South America, and produces fertile seeds. Whether Linnæus be right in his conjecture that the Bihai is the stock-plant of the plantain, it is almost impossible to ascertain; but the absence of any description of a wild seed-bearing plantain renders it highly probable that the cultivated species are hybrids produced long ago. The banana, from time immemorial, has been the food of the philosophers and sages of the East; and almost all travellers throughout the tropics have described these plants exactly as they are known to us, either as a sweet fruit eaten raw, or a farinaceous vegetable roasted or boiled.

It is remarkable that the plantain and banana should be indigenous, or at all events have been cultivated for ages both in the old and new world. Numerous South American travellers describe some one of these plants as being indigenous articles of food among the natives, thus showing (if the plantain and its variety be hybrids) a communication between the tropics of America, Asia and Africa long before the time of Columbus. The older writers on this colony consider the plantain to be a native. Thus Hartsinck in his 'History of Guiana,' vol. i. p. 71, describes under the head of "description of wild trees" the fruit of the plantain or wild banana tree as being eaten by the Indians roasted or ripe, &c., while the banana is under the description of cultivated trees. Belin, 'Description Géographique de la Guyane,' p. 49, in like manner describes the plantaine, or plantin, as being a food used by the Indians, &c. It is remarkable that Sir Robert Schomburgk likewise found a large species of edible plantain far in the interior. It appears to me to be quite clear therefore that the plantain is either a hybrid, or its power of procreation by seed has been destroyed long ago by cultivation, and that it is not known to exist anywhere in a perfect state; in either of which cases, any attempt to improve *our present stock* by the introduction of suckers from elsewhere must be totally futile. I need scarcely remark, that should the suppositions with regard to the hybridity of the plantain be incorrect, and that in certain localities to us at present unknown the plant matures its seed, the seedlings would require long cultivation by repeated transplantation of suckers to deprive the fruit of its seed, or in other words, to render it edible.

If the proposed introduction of plantain suckers from Matanzas, Porto Rico, or other countries, be with the view of entirely substituting them for our present stock, and thus getting rid of the disease, a very serious matter for consideration is presented to us, viz. Is the disease hereditary or owing to imperfection in the plant itself, or is it caused by unfit soil or imperfect tillage? If it be hereditary, then the only means left is totally to eradicate the present stock and to introduce a new one. If, on the other hand, the disease be one of locality, then the introduction of new plants would be merely exposing them to the same cause of destruction. The cause of the disease has been considered by some to be a species of beetle, which destroys the root or finds its way into the body of the tree. This however is a conjecture totally unsupported by any facts, the minutest investigation not disclosing the existence of any such animals in the diseased plantain tree, or at least in that relation to the tree which would in any way justify the supposition that they were the cause of the disease. Another supposition has been that the disease is similar to the smut in wheat, but is equally as unfounded as the beetle theory, no fungi being discovered in the diseased parts, even by the aid of a very powerful achromatic microscope.

Dr. Aanzorg's theory is, that there is a deficiency of certain chemical substances in the soil, and his experiments appear to render his supposition very probable. On the other hand, several planters declare that the youngest suckers from a diseased stock grow up for



the most part diseased, wherever planted. These conflicting opinions must be cleared up by experiment. In the meantime, I believe that I can point out the "seat of the disease," which is at all events the first step towards the discovery of its cure. If a plantain tree be stripped of its leaves from the root upwards, it will be found to consist of a number of joints—the bunch of plantains being a continuation of the upper joint, and the spire being the upper leaf rolled up—exactly similar to a cane and its arrow—the bunch being the organic apex of the plantain tree, in the same manner as the cane arrow is the organic apex of the cane plant.

Of the various vessels and tissues which are necessary to vegetable life, the plantain tribe abounds in what are called spiral vessels or tracheæ; and if a healthy plantain tree be examined from the root upwards as far as the fruit, these vessels will be found in continuous lines; and even in the farina of the plantain they are detected in an extreme state of tenuity. On further examination, these spirals (as has been known to botanists for some time) are found to be composed of numerous fasciculi, and are contained in tubes from whence they can be drawn forth, having a translucent appearance, and being perfectly free from any adherent matter. From the large number of these vessels in the plantain tribe, it is evident that their functions must be important, and that any impediment to their healthy action must be attended with an imperfect development in some part of the plant. Now if a plantain tree bearing a bunch of plantains in a more or less diseased state be examined carefully, a certain number of these tubes containing spirals from the roots up, through the culm or body of the tree into the bunch, will be found to be filled with a ferruginous-looking fluid of a more or less dark colour, and if the spiral vessels be drawn forth from their tubes, this matter will be seen to collect upon them in minute drops; the spirals will also be of the same colour as the substance contained in the tubes. A bunch of plantains in the extreme state of disease, containing no farina, but merely the dissepiments of the cells, will have a large number of the spiral tubes, particularly in the circumference of the culm, filled with a dark ochreous-coloured fluid, while the number of diseased tubes will be fewer, and the colour of the fluid contained more of a yellowish colour, in less diseased plants.

In the stock of a small poor bunch of plantains, but still containing farina and edible, only a trace here and there of the abnormal matter was found. This peculiar state is not confined to the full-grown plant, but the youngest suckers show the disease in a greater or less degree. All the other tissues and vessels of diseased trees I have found after the most careful investigation to be quite sound. The decay of the leaves, and subsequent rottenness and destruction of the plant, is owing to its diminishing vitality, and has nothing to do with the specific disease. Any mechanical injury sufficiently violent to diminish the vigour of the plant, would be followed by similar decay and rottenness. I am therefore fully convinced, that, whatever may be the cause of the disease, the seat of it is in the tubes containing the spiral vessels, which are invaded by an abnormal



fluid, which is inimical to the formation of the pulp in the fruit, or impedes the spirals in the due performance of their functions. The chemical composition of this fluid, and whether it be absorbed directly from the soil, or eliminated within the plant in consequence of functional disease of its organs, will form the subject of future investigation; and I would venture to augur, the colony having now the assistance of a gentleman of high scientific acquirements, that not only the cause, but the cure of this very destructive disease will be shortly discovered.

METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR JAN. 1846.

*Chiswick.*—January 1. Fine. 2, 3. Frosty: fine: overcast. 4. Rain. 5. Sharp frost: cloudy: clear and frosty. 6. Drizzly. 7. Overcast and mild throughout the day and night. 8. Cloudy and fine. 9. Uniformly overcast. 10. Overcast: drizzly rain. 11. Hazy and drizzly. 12. Cold haze. 13. Hazy: very fine. 14. Foggy: overcast and fine. 15. Fine. 16. Thick fog: rain at night. 17. Hazy: drizzly: cloudy and mild. 18. Foggy: rain at night. 19. Constant rain: boisterous, with rain at night. 20. Clear and fine. 21. Rain: densely clouded and mild: boisterous, with rain at night. 22. Boisterous, with rain: densely clouded. 23. Heavy showers. 24. Hazy and mild. 25. Rain. 26. Showery: heavy rain at night. 27. Clear: cloudy: rain at night. 28. Rain: cloudy: very high tide in the Thames: clear. 29. Rain. 30. Overcast. 31. Cloudy: windy at night.

Mean temperature of the month .....	43°·54
Mean temperature of January 1845 .....	38·69
Average mean temperature of Jan. for the last twenty years	36·46
Average amount of rain for the last twenty years .....	1·60 inch.

*Boston.*—Jan. 1. Stormy: rain last night. 2. Fine. 3. Cloudy. 4. Rain. 5. Fine. 6. Rain. 7. Cloudy. 8. Fine. 9—13. Cloudy. 14, 15. Fine. 16. Foggy. 17. Cloudy: rain A.M. and P.M. 18. Foggy. 19. Rain: rain early A.M.: rain P.M. 20. Windy: rain early A.M. 21. Cloudy: rain P.M. 22. Cloudy and stormy: rain early A.M. 23. Fine. 24. Cloudy: rain early A.M. 25. Fine: rain early A.M. 26. Cloudy: rain early A.M. 27. Fine. 28, 29. Rain. 30, 31. Cloudy.—N.B. Not so warm a January since January 1834: the average of that month was 44°·3.

*Sandwick Manse, Orkney.*—Jan. 1. Snow-showers. 2. Fine: frost: cloudy. 3. Cloudy: clear. 4. Clear: showers. 5. Bright: showers. 6. Damp: clear. 7. Cloudy: showers. 8. Showers: clear. 9. Cloudy: clear. 10. Rain: cloudy. 11. Drizzle: damp. 12. Drizzle: hazy. 13. Bright: cloudy. 14. Damp: cloudy. 15. Rain: drizzle. 16. Clear. 17. Damp. 18. Bright: cloudy. 19. Damp: showers. 20. Rain: drizzle. 21. Rain: clear. 22. Damp: rain. 23. Fine: damp. 24. Fine: frost: damp: aurora. 25. Rain: cloudy. 26. Damp. 27. Damp: rain: clear. 28. Cloudy: showers. 29. Showers. 30. Cloudy: rain. 31. Drizzle: showers.

*Applegarth Manse, Dumfries-shire.*—Jan. 1. Snow-showers. 2. Frost: clear and fine. 3. Wet all day. 4. Fine A.M.: shower P.M. 5. Frost A.M.: rain P.M. 6, 7. Showery. 8. Fair. 9, 10. Slight drizzle. 11. Slight drizzle: fog. 12. Fair and mild. 13. Fair A.M.: rain P.M. 14. Fair: one slight shower. 15. Wet A.M.: cleared: fine. 16. Frost, slight: fine. 17. Fair A.M.: slight shower P.M. 18. Fair, but cloudy. 19. Rain nearly all day. 20. Rain all day: flood. 21. Fair, but cloudy. 22. Drizzling rain. 23. Rain and fog. 24. Thick fog. 25. Heavy rain: flood. 26. Drizzling rain. 27. Rain A.M.: fair: rain P.M. 28—31. Rain.

Mean temperature of the month .....	43°·0
Mean temperature of January 1845 .....	36·1
Mean temperature of Jan. for twenty-three years	34·5
Mean rain in January for eighteen years .....	2·57 inches.



# THE ANNALS

AND

## MAGAZINE OF NATURAL HISTORY.

No. 112. APRIL 1846.

---

XXX.—*Observations on the Tribe Sphæriaceæ, and descriptions of certain new Genera.* By Prof. GIUSEPPE DE NOTARIS. Florence\*, 1844. 4to.

By means of the immortal works of Bulliard, Persoon, Fries, Kunze, Nees, Greville and others, mycology has made of late years surprising progress, although it still continues in some of its parts very inferior to the other branches of cryptogamic botany. This arises, if I mistake not, from an opinion unfortunately adopted by certain writers of authority, who have not hesitated to assert, that microscopic observations instead of conducing to happy results are prejudicial to the study of mycology, and are the perpetual fountain of error and confusion. This opinion I not only hold to be false, but even incompatible with the present exigences of the human mind, which cannot content itself with the superficial exterior of things, but delights in searching into their most secret recesses.

And what, in truth, was the study of Cryptogamia before the compound microscope, thanks to the pains taken by the enlightened Amici, was brought to its present degree of perfection? The microscope has unveiled to us, not to speak of the surprising discoveries in bryology, lichenology and algology, the various ways in which fungi are reproduced, which, whether from the singularity of their forms, their hidden mode of growth, or the excessive minuteness of their parts, were the subject of very insufficient observations. How mycology has advanced since the late improvement in microscopic observations, the works of Vittadini, Corda, Montagne and Berkeley bear incontestable evidence.

No one is ignorant that Persoon and Fries made the study accessible by defining the limits of the families, properly describing the species, and laying the foundation of the natural system;

\* Translated from the Italian. Communicated by the Rev. M. J. Berkeley. This memoir, which was first published as a separate pamphlet in 4to, has since appeared in 'Giornale Bot. Italiano,' edited by Parlatore.



but from having too often preferred characters more ready of access to those of internal structure, and trivial differences to the organs of fructification, they led students astray from the analytic method formerly adopted by the immortal Micheli, who, assisted by the power of their own minds, would otherwise have guided them by a surer and more noble path. The works of Micheli were often consulted, but his observations were either carelessly passed over or considered incomprehensible, and we have seen several of our contemporaries advance facts as new which had already been published in the 'Nova Plantarum Genera.'

Of all the divisions of the mycological kingdom, that of the *Pyrenomycetes* or *Hypoxylya* especially appears to me most strikingly to prove what I have above asserted. Analyse the works of Persoon, Fries, Duby, Wallroth and Chevalier, and you will find the form and colours of the perithecia, the way in which they open, the mode in which they burst from the matrix, the stroma, the colour, the consistence of the nucleus, accurately described; but of the parts of which the nucleus itself is composed, of the parts in which the essential and classical characters really reside, of the fructification, of the internal structure of the conceptacula, there is no intimation whatever, or they give them joined to the others as of secondary importance and out of mere compliment.

Thus it is that in this family myriads of errors and contradictions are met with at every step. We find, for example, some species of *Sphæria* placed among the *Cytisporæ*, because the nucleus bursts from the perithecium in the form of a tendril; to *Lophium* we find pulverulent sporidia assigned, because they are thread-shaped and equal in length to the asci. Among the *Sphæria* we find species which have the nucleus composed of sporidia only—species which belong to *Sphæronema*, and in short true *Peziza*, because in colour, form, and mode of growth they present the semblance of a perithecium.

Among the general characters of Fries' sections of the immense genus *Sphæria*, based principally and sometimes with useless details on the existence or want of a stroma, or on the mode in which the perithecia are disposed, we certainly find the asci and sporidia mentioned, but the sporidia in the same sections differ immensely in the several species in form, structure or size. We find allied species dispersed in different sections or even identical species, solely from their having attacked vegetables of different families or parts of different duration.

I do not hesitate to assert this, having had the advantage of procuring an authentic copy of the entire collection of the *Scleromycetes Suecici* of Fries, possessing also the greater part of the types published in the 'Fasciculi' of the enlightened Prof. Kunze, those illustrated by Montagne in his 'Notice sur les Plantes



Cryptogames récemment découvertes en France,' and in the third edition of the 'Flore des environs de Paris' of Merat, and the collections of Demazières and others, with the help of which I have been able to make a multitude of comparisons and clear away no slight number of errors;—errors which, without further preface, appear to me incontestably to demonstrate—

1st. That the progress in cryptogamic botany is chiefly owing to microscopical observations.

2ndly. That the classification of the *Pyrenomycetes* especially can never be natural nor philosophical, until we know the most minute particulars of the fructification of the species.

Besides which, if in the classification of many other tribes of fungi, and in defining the genera and species of the *Perisporiacei*, *Myxogastres*, *Mucorini*, *Coniomycetes*, &c., part of the characters are furnished by the peridia and sporidia, why should such characters be altogether rejected in the *Pyrenomycetes*, in which these organs are more complicated, and consequently rank higher in the series of organized structure?

The suspicion that differences in the fructifying parts of the genus *Sphæria* might be found, had arisen in my mind from the first moment in which I prepared myself to examine analytically a few minute fungi, which I afterwards described and figured in my decades of *Micromycetes*. During last winter, however, having previously excluded those species in which I had not succeeded in finding a *nucleus ascigerus*, I prepared with the utmost diligence of which I was capable, the analysis, descriptions and figures of 200 other *Sphæria*; and I assert that in identical species, from whatever different region they came, and these often growing on plants of different families, I have always found the structure, size, colour and shape of the sporidia identical; while, on the contrary, species properly distinct have never presented to me sporidia of the same shape. How many times have I admired in ecstasy the inexhaustible fullness of the great Creator of all things, who has given to an organ essentially the same in its nature and office such an infinite variety of form, so that each species carries with it an invariable impress or token to distinguish it from its allies!

Still very far from the end I had proposed to myself, from want of time, and not being able to embrace a larger field, I confine myself at present to a notice respecting the tribe of indigenous *Pyrenomycetes Sphæriaceæ*, because on recurring to the examination of the most essential parts of the fruit, they exhibit on a small scale the basis on which I intend to proceed in their re-arrangement; re-arrangement I say, because Greville, Corda, Montagne, and Fries himself in the 'Plantæ Homonemæ' felt the urgent necessity of lending a hand in the dismemberment of

the genus *Sphæria*, proposing the genera *Diplodia*, *Ostropa*, *Cucurbitaria*, *Cryptosphæria*, *Valsa* and *Hypocrea*, which conveniently limited according to the characters of fructification common to the greater number of the respective species, and selected from the heterogeneous materials which they everywhere contain, ought without doubt in some measure to be adopted, although for the most part founded on the appearance of the stroma, perithecia and nucleus, characters comparatively of small value.

I comprehend among the *Pyrenomycetes Sphæriaceæ*, those species only in which we meet with truly ascigerous conceptacula or perithecia, whether spheroidal, lentiform, conical, oval; whether obtuse or acute, or finally produced into a kind of cylindrical neck, angular or compressed, isolated or gregarious, or collected together in a stroma of varied form; opening by means of a vertical pore, sometimes scarcely visible or gaping in consequence of the thinness of the exterior coat, which yields readily to the shock of the sporidia bursting forth from the asci when arrived at maturity, or of the asci themselves separated from the walls of the perithecia, or in short by means of an irregular fissure.

The limits indeed within which the celebrated Corda has circumscribed the tribe or family of the *Sphæriaceæ*, in his immense iconographical work on the family of Fungi (*Icones Fungorum*, vol. v. p. 31), might be adopted for the present, had he not as I believe comprised in it genera which do not properly belong to it, and for the most part defined too loosely.

In the *Sphæriaceæ* we have to consider the stroma, the perithecium, its texture, the mode in which it opens, the nucleus, the asci, the paraphyses and the sporidia.

The stroma, on which the fundamental divisions of Fries are based, furnishes characters of some importance in the greater part of compound *Sphæria*, which, besides serving as a receptacle for the perithecia, presents a determined form characteristic of each species. The stroma cannot properly be compared to the thallus of Lichens, because it is an integral part of the fructifying apparatus. From the mycelium, the true equivalent of the thallus, one can scarcely draw materials for the diagnosis of the genera, because it is always extremely difficult to follow up its development. Deeply invested in the substance of the matrix or confluent with it, and often evanescent in fructifying individuals, it cannot afford precise characters except by the help of observations, often perhaps impracticable, and attentively following up the development before the evolution of the perithecia. In the simple, free, superficial or innate species, and in the *Caspititiæ*, the nature of the stroma appears less clear, because in some species